Inventory of Academic Advising Functions: Data Summary University of Oregon May 4, 2012

Janine M. Allen and Cathleen L. Smith, Portland State University

List of Contents

Section I Student Participants' Responses to Advising Survey

P	art	A.	Profile	of	Student	P	Participant	S

Table 1. Demographic Profile of Student Participants: University of Oregon and Other Public Four-Year Institution Students

Part B. Student Overall Educational Attitudes and Experiences

Table 2. Student Participants' Overall Educational Attitudes and Experiences: University of Oregon Students Compared to Students at Other Public 4-Year Institutions

Part C. Student Advising Attitudes

Table 3. Student Participants' Importance Ratings of Advising Functions: University of Oregon Students Compared to Students at Other Public 4-Year Institutions

Part D. Student Advising Experiences

Table 4. Student Participants' Frequency of Contact with Primary Source of Academic Advising: University of Oregon Students Compared to Students at Other Public 4-Year Institutions

Table 5. Where University of Oregon Student Participants get Their Primary Academic Advising

Table 6. University of Oregon Student Participants' Sources of Information about Classes to Take to Meet Requirements

Table 6a. Student Participants' Sources of Information about Classes to Take to Meet Requirements: University of Oregon Students Compared to Students at Other Public 4-Year Institutions

Table 7. Student Participants' Satisfaction Ratings of Advising Functions: University of Oregon Students Compared to Students at Other Public 4-Year Institutions

Table 8. Student Participants' Perceptions of Advising Accuracy: University of Oregon Students Compared to Students at Other Public 4-Year Institutions

Table 9. Student Participants' Advising Learning Outcomes Ratings: University of Oregon Students Compared to Students at Other Public 4-Year Institutions

Section II Faculty and Professional Advisor Participants' Responses to Advising Survey

Part A. Profile of Faculty and Professional Advisor Participants

Table 10. Demographic Profile of University of Oregon Faculty and Professional Advisor Participants

UO Data Summary Page 1 of 21

- Table 11. University of Oregon Faculty and Professional Advisor Participants' Hours per Week Spent Advising Undergraduate or Post-Baccalaureate Students
- Part B. University of Oregon Faculty and Professional Advisor Participants' Advising Attitudes and Experiences
- Table 12. Faculty Participants' Importance Ratings of Advising Functions: University of Oregon Faculty Compared to Faculty at Other Public 4-Year Institutions
 Faculty Participants' Satisfaction Ratings of Advising Functions: University of Oregon Faculty Compared to Faculty at Other Public 4-Year Institutions
- Table 13. Faculty Participants' Other Advising Attitudes: University of Oregon Faculty
 Compared to Faculty at Other Public 4-Year Institutions
 Professional Advisor Participant's Importance Ratings of Advising Functions: University of
 Oregon Advisors Compared to Advisors at Other Public 4-Year Institutions

Professional Advisor Participant's Satisfaction Ratings of Advising Functions: University of Oregon Advisors Compared to Advisors at Other Public 4-Year Institutions

- Table 14. Professional Advisor Participants' Other Advising Attitudes: University of Oregon Advisors Compared to Advisors at Other Public 4-Year Institutions
- Table 15. University of Oregon Participants' Other Advising Attitudes: Faculty Compared to Professional Advisors

Section III Comparison of University of Oregon Student, Faculty, and Professional Advisor Participants' Advising Attitudes and Experiences

- Table 16. Results of ANOVAs Comparing University of Oregon Student, Faculty, and Advisor Participants' Importance Ratings
- Table 17. Results of ANOVAs Comparing University of Oregon Student, Faculty, and Advisor Participants' Satisfaction Ratings
- Table 18. Results of Within-Subjects ANOVAs of Importance Ratings: University of Oregon Student, Faculty, and Advisor Participants

Section I Student Responses to Advising Survey

Part A. Profile of Student Participants

Table 1.

Demographic Profile of Student Participants:

University of Oregon and Other Public Four-Year Institution Students

	Universi	ty of Oregon	Other 4-	Year
	n	%	n	%
Gender				
Male	1334	36.6	3484	36.4
Female	2313	63.4	5946	63.6
Ethnicity			20	
Asian American	362	9.9	722	7.6
African American	45	1.2	122	1.3
Hispanic	150	4.1	630	6.7
Native American	29	0.8	120	1.3
White	2671	73.2	6887	72.8
Unknown	390	10.7	724	7.7
Multi-Ethnic			257	2.7
Class Level				
Freshman	494	13.7	1061	11.3
Sophomore	791	21.9	1671	17.2
Junior	873	24.2	2311	24.6
Senior	1454	40.3	4402	45.0
Enrollment Status				
New Student	1133	31.1	2935	31.1
Continuing	2514	68.9	6527	69.0
First Generation College Student				
First Generation	1153	32.4	4557	50
Not First Generation	2404	67.6	4560	50
Financial Need		V		
No Pell Grant	2712	74.4	5343	56.4
Pell Grant	935	25.6	4130	43.6
Mean Age	21.7 Years		25.5 Years	

Part B. Student Overall Educational Attitudes and Experiences

Table 2.

Student Participants' Overall Educational Attitudes and Experiences:
University of Oregon Students Compared to Students at Other Public 4-Year Institutions

Onversity of Oregon Students Compared to Students at Other 1 uone 4-1 car institutio							
"Indicate your level of agreement with" 1		n	Mean	SD	Sig. ²		
Overall, I am satisfied with the academic	UO	3578	3.93	1.41	***		
advising I receive at name of institution.	Other 4-yr	9143	4.08	1.53			
It is important for me to graduate from college.	UO	3577	5.80	.68	*		
	Other 4-yr	9142	5.83	.61			
I am confident that I made the right decision in	UO	3569	4.75	1.24	*		
choosing to attend name of institution.	Other 4-yr	9130	4.81	1.27			
I have a plan to achieve my educational goals. ³	UO	3569	5.30	.97	***		
	Other 4-yr	9124	5.42	.90			
I have had at least one relationship with a	UO	3573	4.27	1.55	ns		
faculty or staff member at name of institution	Other 4-yr	9114	4.26	1.61			
that has had a significant and positive influence on me. ³	*						
I plan to graduate from name of institution.	UO	3571	5.61	.95	**		
	Other 4-yr	9118	5.56	1.04			
Overall, I am satisfied with my educational	UO	3573	4.63	1.11	ns		
experience at name of institution.	Other 4-yr	9137	4.64	1.19			

¹1 = Strongly Disagree, 6 = Strongly Agree

²Results of independent samples *t*-tests. Asterisks show the level of significance at which UO students and other public 4-yr institution students differed: *p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001, ns = no significant difference ³Also an Advising Learning Outcome

Part C. Student Advising Attitudes

Table 3.

Student Participants' Importance Ratings of Advising Functions:
University of Oregon Students Compared to Students at Other Public 4-Year Institutions

Oniversity of Oregon Students Compared to St	With the same of t	ci i dollo	4-1 Cal	mstitut	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000
"How important is this advising function to you?"		n	Mean	SD	Sig. ²
Advising that helps students connect their	UO	3562	4.84	1.15	***
academic, career, and life goals	Other 4-yr	9077	5.02	1.12	
Advising that helps students choose among courses	UO	3553	4.96	1.09	***
in the major that connect their academic, career,	Other 4-yr	9046	5.14	1.05	
and life goals					
Advising that assists students with choosing among	; UO	3526	4.57	1.27	*
the various general education options that connect	Other 4-yr	8967	4.63	1.36	
their academic, career, and life goals	4				
Advising that assists students with deciding what	UO	3531	4.50	1.41	***
kind of degree to pursue in order to connect their	Other 4-yr	8928	4.63	1.47	
academic, career, and life goals					
Advising that assists students with choosing out-of-		3519	4.39	1.41	ns
class activities that connect their academic, career,	Other 4-yr	8884	4.36	1.57	
and life goals	я				
When students need it, referral to campus resources	UO	3513	4.41	1.42	***
that address academic problems	Other 4-yr	8836	4.51	1.48	
When students need it, referral to campus resources	UO	3499	4.14	1.55	ns
that address non-academic problems	Other 4-yr	8794	4.17	1.66	
Assisting students with understanding how things	UO	3504	4.80	1.24	***
work at name of institution with regard to timelines,	Other 4-yr	8788	4.96	1.24	
policies, and procedures					
Ability to give students accurate information about	UO	3510	5.44	.92	***
degree requirements	Other 4-yr	8812	5.62	.75	
Taking into account students' skills, abilities, and	UO	3501	4.87	1.16	***
interests in helping them choose courses	Other 4-yr	8752	4.98	1.20	
Knowing the student as an individual	UO	3503	4.75	1.30	***
	Other 4-yr	8765	4.93	1.27	- 1
Encouraging students to assume responsibility for	UO	3483	4.68	1.29	***
their education by helping them develop planning,	Other 4-yr	8716	4.80	1.32	
problem-solving, and decision-making skills		0,10	1.00	1.52	
1 = Not Important 6 = Very Important					

¹1 = Not Important, 6 = Very Important

²Results of independent samples *t*-tests. Asterisks show the level of significance at which UO students and other public 4-yr institution students differed:

p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001, ns = no significant difference

Part D. Student Advising Experiences

Table 4.

Student Participants' Frequency of Contact with Primary Source of Academic Advising:* University of Oregon Students Compared to Students at Other Public 4-Year Institutions

		UO	Other 4-yr
		S 0 11	
No advising**/ No current advising***	n	612	996
	%	16.9%	10.8%
Once per year	n	708	1323
	%	19.6%	14.3%
More than once per year	n	2031	6910
	%	63.5%	74.8%
Total	n	3621	9229
-	.%	100.0%	100.0%

^{*&}quot;On average, how often do you get advice from your primary source of advising (i.e., the advising you consider most central to your academic progress)?"

^{**&}quot;I have not received academic advising from faculty or staff at name of institution."

^{***&}quot;I'm not currently getting academic advising from faculty or staff at name of institution."

Table 5.
Where University of Oregon Student Participants get Their Primary Academic Advising

j = 1 g = 1 mm j 1 mm		Ta Tibiling
"Which of the following best describes where at UO you get your PRIMARY		
academic advising, i.e., the advising you consider most central to your	1	
academic progress?"	n	%
I have not received academic advising from faculty or staff	381	10.4
Advisor/Professor in my major department	1759	48.1
Peer advisor in my major department	247	6.7
College of Arts & Sciences Undeclared Advisor	76	2.1
Office of Academic Advising, 3rd floor, Oregon Hall	668	18.3
Disability Services, 1st floor, Oregon Hall	23	.6
Office of Multicultural Academic Success, 1st floor, Oregon Hall	70	1.9
Special Support Services, PLC	51	1.4
McNair Scholars Program, PLC	5	.1
Pathway Oregon, PLC	146	4.0
Support Services for Student-Athletes	33	.9
Honors College Advisor	66	1.8
Society of College Scholars advisor	7	.2
Other (Please Specify)	128	3.5
Total	3660	100

Table 6.
University of Oregon Student Participants:
Sources of Information about Classes to Take to Meet Requirements

"Please select the circle that best describes where you get most of your information about classes to take to meet requirements."	n	%
Advisor/Professor in my major department	1168	32.6
Peer Advisor in my major department	250	7.0
College of Arts & Sciences Undeclared Advisor	28	.8
Office of Academic Advising, 3rd floor, Oregon Hall	329	9.2
Disability Services, 1st floor, Oregon Hall	14	.4
Office of Multicultural Academic Success, 1st floor, Oregon	36	1.0
Special Support Services, PLC	31	.9
McNair Scholars Program, PLC	1	.0
Pathway Oregon, PLC	113	3.2
Support Services for Student-Athletes	34	.9
Honors College Advisor	26	.7
Society of College Scholars advisor	1	.0
University Catalog	467	13.0
Departmental/College Website	777	21.7
Friend(s)/Other Student(s)	277	7.7
Family Member(s)	35 4	1.0
Total	3587	100.0

Table 6a.
Student Participants' Sources of Information about Classes to Take to Meet Requirements:
University of Oregon Students Compared to Students at Other Public 4-Year Institutions

on visity of oregon students compared to students at other running	· z conz zizio	titutions
"Please select the circle that best describes where you get most of your	UO	Other 4-Yr
information about classes to take to meet requirements."		
Advisors* n	2021	5748
%	56.6%	62.9%
Advising Tools**	1240	2867
%	34.7%	31.4%
Informal Social Network*** n	310	529
%	8.7%	5.8%
Total n	3571	9144
%	100.0%	100.0%

^{*}Students selected, from the list of options, actual persons or places where they could interact with a faculty or staff member at the institution

^{**}Students selected, from the list of options, campus tools they might use to self-advise (e.g., bulletin, websites, advising guides)

^{***}Students selected, from the list of options, "friends/other students" or "family members"

Table 7.

Student Participants' Satisfaction Ratings of Advising Functions:

University of Oregon Students Compared to Students at Other Public 4-Year Institutions

	Public 4-1	ear Ins	stitutioi	ns
e on this	n	Mean	SD	Sig. ²
UO	3193	4.07	1.33	ns
Other 4-yr	8569	4.12		100000
UO				***
Other 4-yr	8531	4.14	1.48	
IIO	2157	2.02	1 24	
				ns
Other 4-yr	6443	3.90	1.51	
UO	3158	3.87	1.39	***
Other 4-yr	8377	4.00	1.52	
UO	3143	3.45	1.41	ns
Other 4-yr	8276	3.50	1.57	
UO	3124	3.83	1.37	***
Other 4-yr	8221	4.01	1.45	
UO	3080	3.75	1.36	***
Other 4-yr	8114	3.85	1.47	
UO	3130	3.78	1.40	***
Other 4-yr	8252	3.89		
UO	3151	4.28	1.37	ns
Other 4-yr	8323	4.32	1.52	
UO	3124	3.86	1.38	***
Other 4-yr	8205	3.98	1.50	
UO ·	3133			***
Other 4-yr	8237	3.87	1.64	
UO	3110	3.89	1.34	***
Other 4-yr	8129	4.07	1.45	
	UO Other 4-yr	UO 3193 Other 4-yr 8569 UO 3188 Other 4-yr 8531 UO 3157 Other 4-yr 8443 UO 3158 Other 4-yr 8377 UO 3143 Other 4-yr 8221 UO 3080 Other 4-yr 8221 UO 3080 Other 4-yr 8114 UO 3130 Other 4-yr 8252 UO 3151 Other 4-yr 8223 UO 3124 Other 4-yr 8252 UO 3151 Other 4-yr 8205 UO 3133 Other 4-yr 8205 UO 3133 Other 4-yr 8237 UO 3110	We on this n Mean UO 3193 4.07 Other 4-yr 8569 4.12 UO 3188 4.05 Other 4-yr 8531 4.14 UO 3157 3.92 Other 4-yr 8443 3.96 UO 3158 3.87 Other 4-yr 8377 4.00 UO 3143 3.45 Other 4-yr 8276 3.50 UO 3124 3.83 Other 4-yr 8114 3.85 UO 3130 3.78 Other 4-yr 8252 3.89 UO 3151 4.28 Other 4-yr 8252 3.98 UO 3124 3.86 Other 4-yr 8205 3.98 UO 3133 3.59 Other 4-yr 8237 3.87 UO 3110 3.89	N Mean SD UO 3193 4.07 1.33 Other 4-yr 8569 4.12 1.49 UO 3188 4.05 1.33 Other 4-yr 8531 4.14 1.48 UO 3157 3.92 1.34 Other 4-yr 8443 3.96 1.51 UO 3158 3.87 1.39 Other 4-yr 8377 4.00 1.52 UO 3143 3.45 1.41 Other 4-yr 8276 3.50 1.57 UO 3124 3.83 1.37 Other 4-yr 8221 4.01 1.45 UO 3080 3.75 1.36 Other 4-yr 814 3.85 1.47 UO 3130 3.78 1.40 Other 4-yr 8323 4.32 1.52 UO 3124 3.86 1.38 Other 4-yr 8205 3.98 1.50

¹1 = Not Satisfied, 6 = Very Satisfied

² Results of independent samples *t*-tests. Asterisks show the level of significance at which UO students and other public 4-yr institution students differed:

^{*}p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001, ns =no significant difference

Table 8.
Student Participants' Perceptions of Advising Accuracy:
University of Oregon Students Compared to Students at Other Public 4-Year Institutions

		=		
- L			UO	Other 4-yr
"I believe I have been accurately advised by	Yes	n	2876	7007
faculty or staff at Name of Institution."		%	82.7%	80.9%
	No	n	600	1655
	4-	%	17.3%	19.1%
Total		n	3476	8662
		%	100.0%	100.0%

Table 9.

Student Participants' Advising Learning Outcomes Ratings:
University of Oregon Students Compared to Students at Other Public 4-Year Institutions

University of Oregon Students Compared	to biddents at	Other	uone 4- 1	cai mst	llullon
"Indicate your level of agreement with ¹ "		n	Mean	SD	Sig. ²
I know what requirements I must fulfill in	UO	3479	5.02	1.13	ns
order to earn my degree.	Other 4-yr	8699	5.06	1.14	41
When I have a problem, I know where at name	UO	3478	4.12	1.42	***
of institution I can go to get help.	Other 4-yr	8682	4.22	1.46	-
I understand how things work at name of	UO	3479	4.32	1.28	***
institution.	Other 4-yr	8689	4.46	1.29	
I understand how my academic choices at	UO	3473	4.70	1.18	***
name of institution connect to my career and	Other 4-yr	8676	4.90	1.15	-
life goals.					
I have a plan to achieve my educational goals. ²	UO	3569	5.30	.97	***
	Other 4-yr	9124	5.42	.90	
I have had at least one relationship with a	UO	3573	4.27	1.55	ns
faculty or staff member at name of institution	Other 4-yr	9114	4.26	1.61	
that has had a significant and positive					
influence on me. ²					
It is important to develop an advisor/advisee	UO	3475	4.92	1.14	***
relationship with someone on campus.	Other 4-yr	8711	5.13	1.07	
There should be mandatory academic advising	UO	3476	4.16	1.55	***
for students.	Other 4-yr	8699	4.45	1.51	

¹1 = Strongly Disagree, 6 = Strongly Agree

*p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001, ns = no significant difference

²Results of independent samples *t*-tests. Asterisks show the level of significance at which UO students and other public 4-yr institution students differed:

Section II Faculty and Professional Advisor Participants' Responses to Advising Survey

Part A. Profile of Faculty and Professional Advisor Participants

Table 10.

Demographic Profile of University of Oregon
Faculty and Professional Advisor Participants

	Faculty			Advisors		
	n	%	n	%		
Gender						
Male	154	55.0	11	29.7		
Female	123	43.9	26	70.3		
Unknown	3	1.1				
Ethnicity						
Asian American	26	9.3	2	5.4		
African American	2	.7	2	5.4		
Hispanic	6	2.1				
Native American	3	1.1	*			
White	217	77.5	30	81.1		
Multi-ethnic	1	.4	1	2.7		
Unknown	3	1.1	2	5.4		
Tenure Status						
Emeritus	1	.4				
Indefinite Tenure	145	51.8				
Tenure Track	52	18.6				
Fixed Term	79	28.2	37	100		
Unknown	3	1.1				
Rank						
Professor	78	27.9				
Associate Professor	68	24.3	1	2.7		
Assistant Professor	53	18.9	1	2.7		
Instructor/Senior Instructor	70	25.0	4	10.8		
Research Assistant/Associate	6	2.2				
No Rank	2	.7	31	83.8		
Unknown	3	1.1				
Length of Service	12.02 Years		9.23 Years	9.23 Years		
Mean Age	48.11 Years		42.36 Year	42.36 Years		

Table 11.
University of Oregon Faculty and Professional Advisor Participants'
Hours per Week Spent Advising Undergraduate or Post-Baccalaureate Students¹

Hours per week	Faculty		Advisors	
	n	%	n	%
0	67	25.0	2	5.6
1-4	150	56	4	11.1
5-8	31	11.6	5	13.9
9-20	17	6.4	9	25.0
>20	3	1.1	16	44.4

¹"During the present term, how many hours per week on average do you actually spend on Academic Advising of majors in your unit, program, or department?"

Part B. University of Oregon Faculty and Professional Advisor Participants' Advising Attitudes and Experiences

Table 12.

Faculty Participants' Importance Ratings of Advising Functions:
University of Oregon Faculty Compared to Faculty at Other Public 4-Year Institutions

"How important is it for undergraduate students to get thus kind of advising?" ¹	Univers	ity of Or	egon	Other	Public	4-Yr	Sig. ²
	n	Mean	SD	n	Mean	SD	
Integration							
Overall Connect	247	5.22	.97	801	5.35	.98	ns
Major Connect	241	5.17	1.10	785	5.41	.90	**
Gen Ed Connect	234	4.69	1.32	770	4.88	1.24	ns
Degree Connect	231	4.80	1.26	754	5.01	1.19	*
Out-of-Class Connect	232	4.20	1.40	754	4.54	1.30	***
Referral							
• Academic	228	5.37	.89	754	5.33	.97	ns
Non-Academic	226	4.87	1.16	741	5.05	1.11	*
Information						, a	
How Things Work	227	5.03	1.07	742	5.14	1.07	ns
Accurate Information	227	5.58	.77	745	5.65	.75	ns
Individuation							
Skills, Abilities, Interests	224	4.67	1.26	739	4.89	1.14	*
Know as Individual	225	4.54	1.34	739	4.82	1.21	**
Shared Responsibility							
Shared Responsibility	222	4.86	1.27	728	5.11	1.17	**

¹1 = Not Important, 6 = Very Important

Faculty Participants' Satisfaction Ratings of Advising Functions (How satisfied are you with the advising you provide in this area? 1 = Not Satisfied, 6 = Very Satisfied):

University of Oregon Faculty Compared to Faculty at Other Public 4-Year Institutions

No significant difference on 11 of the 12 advising functions. The exception was *Skills Abilities Interests*: University of Oregon (n = 139, Mean = 4.24, SD = 1.23), other public 4-year institutions (n = 451, Mean = 4.51, SD = 1.26), p < .03

² Results of independent samples *t*-tests. Asterisks show the level of significance at which UO faculty and other public 4-yr institution faculty differed: *p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001; ns = no significant difference.

Professional Advisor Participant's Importance Ratings of Advising Functions: University of Oregon Advisors Compared to Advisors at Other Public 4-Year Institutions

No significant difference on any of the 12 advising functions.

Professional Advisor Participant's Satisfaction Ratings of Advising Functions: University of Oregon Advisors Compared to Advisors at Other Public 4-Year Institutions

No significant difference on any of the 12 advising functions.

Table 13.
Faculty Participants' Other Advising Attitudes:

University of Oregon Faculty Compared to Faculty at Other Public 4-Year Institutions

"Based on your experience at Name of Institution, indicate your level of agreement with	Un	iversity			car mst		
the following statements" 1		Oregon		Oth	er Public	24-Yr	
·	n	Mean	SD	n	Mean	SD	Sig ²
It is important for undergraduate students to	224	5.06	1.13	746	5.31	1.01	**
develop an advisor/advisee relationship with					60		
someone on campus.							
There should be mandatory academic advising	223	4.35	1.57	749	4.83	1.45	***
for undergraduate students.							
Providing academic advising to undergraduate	212	3.15	1.36	710	3.49	1.49	**
students is valued by senior administrators at			*				
Name of Institution (i.e., the President, Provost,							
and Vice Presidents/Provosts).							
Providing academic advising to undergraduate	208	4.29	1.51	681	4.70	1.43	***
students is valued by my department	-						
chairperson or director.							
Providing academic advising to undergraduate	212	3.80	1.52	687	4.31	1.54	***
students is valued by colleagues in my							
department or program.							
Providing academic advising to undergraduate	202	3.66	1.47	669	4.01	1.58	**
students is valued by the Dean of my school,							
college or program.	,					- 1	

¹1 = Strongly Disagree, 6 = Strongly Agree

²Results of independent samples *t*-tests. Asterisks show the level of significance at which UO faculty and other public 4-yr institution faculty differed:

^{*}p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001, ns = no significant difference

Table 14.

Professional Advisor Participants' Other Advising Attitudes:
University of Oregon Advisors Compared to Advisors at Other Public 4-Year Institutions

"Based on your experience at Name of Institution, indicate your level of agreement with the following statements 1"		iversity		Oth	om Droh 1:	4 37	
ine Jouowing statements	n	Oregon Mean	SD	n	er Public Mean	SD SD	Sig ²
It is important for undergraduate students to	36						
It is important for undergraduate students to	30	3.63	.36	103	5.79	.62	ns
develop an advisor/advisee relationship with							
someone on campus.	2.6	4.00					
There should be mandatory academic advising	36	4.83	1.32	102	5.30	1.07	*
for undergraduate students.							
Providing academic advising to undergraduate	36	3.97	1.40	102	4.14	1.47	ns
students is valued by senior administrators at							
the Name of Institution (i.e., the President,							
Provost, and Vice Presidents/Provosts).	á.						
Providing academic advising to undergraduate	36	5.67	.59	97	5.28	1.27	*
students is valued by my department							
chairperson or director.		5					
Providing academic advising to undergraduate	36	5.17	1.11	100	5.27	1.22	ns
students is valued by colleagues in my					41		
department or program.							
Providing academic advising to undergraduate	33	4.94	1.35	97	4.96	1.38	ns
students is valued by the Dean of my school,							
college or program.							

¹1 = Strongly Disagree, 6 = Strongly Agree

² Results of independent samples *t*-tests. Asterisks show the level of significance at which UO advisors and other public 4-yr institution advisors differed: *p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001, ns = no significant difference.

Table 15. University of Oregon Participants' Other Advising Attitudes: Faculty Compared to Professional Advisors

It is important for undergraduate students to develop an advisor/advisee relationship with someone on campus. There should be mandatory academic advising for undergraduate students. Providing academic advising to undergraduate students is valued by senior administrators at the University of Oregon (i.e., the President, Provost, and Vice Presidents/Provosts). Providing academic advising to undergraduate students is valued by my department chairperson or director. Providing academic advising to undergraduate students is valued by colleagues in my department or program.	"Based on your experience at Name of		Faculty	У		Advisor	S	
develop an advisor/advisee relationship with someone on campus. There should be mandatory academic advising for undergraduate students. Providing academic advising to undergraduate students is valued by senior administrators at the University of Oregon (i.e., the President, Provost, and Vice Presidents/Provosts). Providing academic advising to undergraduate students is valued by my department chairperson or director. Providing academic advising to undergraduate students is valued by colleagues in my department or program.		n	Mean	SD	n	Mean	SD	Sig ²
someone on campus. There should be mandatory academic advising for undergraduate students. Providing academic advising to undergraduate students is valued by senior administrators at the University of Oregon (i.e., the President, Provost, and Vice Presidents/Provosts). Providing academic advising to undergraduate students is valued by my department chairperson or director. Providing academic advising to undergraduate students is valued by colleagues in my department or program.	It is important for undergraduate students to	224	5.06	1.13	36	5.83	.38	***
There should be mandatory academic advising for undergraduate students. Providing academic advising to undergraduate students is valued by senior administrators at the University of Oregon (i.e., the President, Provost, and Vice Presidents/Provosts). Providing academic advising to undergraduate students is valued by my department chairperson or director. Providing academic advising to undergraduate students is valued by colleagues in my department or program.	develop an advisor/advisee relationship with				3			
for undergraduate students. Providing academic advising to undergraduate students is valued by senior administrators at the University of Oregon (i.e., the President, Provost, and Vice Presidents/Provosts). Providing academic advising to undergraduate students is valued by my department chairperson or director. Providing academic advising to undergraduate students is valued by colleagues in my department or program.	someone on campus.							
Providing academic advising to undergraduate students is valued by senior administrators at the University of Oregon (i.e., the President, Provost, and Vice Presidents/Provosts). Providing academic advising to undergraduate students is valued by my department chairperson or director. Providing academic advising to undergraduate students is valued by colleagues in my department or program.	There should be mandatory academic advising	223	4.35	1.57	36	4.83	1.32	ns
students is valued by senior administrators at the University of Oregon (i.e., the President, Provost, and Vice Presidents/Provosts). Providing academic advising to undergraduate students is valued by my department chairperson or director. Providing academic advising to undergraduate students is valued by colleagues in my department or program.	for undergraduate students.					2		
University of Oregon (i.e., the President, Provost, and Vice Presidents/Provosts). Providing academic advising to undergraduate students is valued by my department chairperson or director. Providing academic advising to undergraduate students is valued by colleagues in my department or program.	Providing academic advising to undergraduate	212	3.15	1.36	36	3.97	1.40	***
Provost, and Vice Presidents/Provosts). Providing academic advising to undergraduate students is valued by my department chairperson or director. Providing academic advising to undergraduate students is valued by colleagues in my department or program.	students is valued by senior administrators at the							
Providing academic advising to undergraduate students is valued by my department chairperson or director. Providing academic advising to undergraduate students is valued by colleagues in my department or program.	University of Oregon (i.e., the President,							
students is valued by my department chairperson or director. Providing academic advising to undergraduate students is valued by colleagues in my department or program.	Provost, and Vice Presidents/Provosts).				8			
chairperson or director. Providing academic advising to undergraduate students is valued by colleagues in my department or program.	Providing academic advising to undergraduate	208	4.29	1.51	36	5.67	.59	***
Providing academic advising to undergraduate students is valued by colleagues in my department or program.	students is valued by my department							
students is valued by colleagues in my department or program.	chairperson or director.		34					
department or program.	Providing academic advising to undergraduate	212	3.80	1.52	36	5.17	1.11	***
	students is valued by colleagues in my							
Providing academic advising to undergraduate 202 3.66 1.47 33 4.94 1.35 ***	department or program.					**		
	Providing academic advising to undergraduate	202	3.66	1.47	33	4.94	1.35	***
students is valued by the Dean of my school,	students is valued by the Dean of my school,		,					
college or program.	college or program.			1				- 1

 $^{^{1}1}$ = Strongly Disagree, 6 = Strongly Agree 2 Results of independent samples *t*-tests. Asterisks show the level of significance at which the two groups differed: *p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001, ns = no significant difference

Section III Comparison of University of Oregon Student, Faculty, and Professional Advisor Participants' Advising Attitudes and Experiences

Table 16.

Results of ANOVAs Comparing University of Oregon Student, Faculty, and Advisor Participants' Importance Ratin

	Country	ionni practi	t, I avuity,	alla Auvisoi Fari	cipants in	energy of the state of the stat
			Import	Importance Ratings		
	Stı	Students		Faculty	٧	Adviente
	и	Mean (SD)	n	Mean (CD)	7.	Maga (GD)
Integration				(CC) Impart	11	Mean (DD)
Overall Connect	3546	4.84 . (1.15)	747	(70) (75	36	(000)
Major Connect	3537	4 96 (1 09)	241	5.22 b (.37)	20	5.83 _a (0.38)
Gen Ed Connect	3510	157 (120)	117	3.17 b (1.10)	30	5.75 _a (0.55)
Townson Country	2510	(UC.1) 9 / C.+	724	4.69 b (1.32)	36	5.50 a (0.74)
Deglee Collifect	3210	4.50 c (1.41)	231	4.80 b (1.30)	36	5.42 , (1.00)
 Out-of-Class Connect 	3503	4.39 b (1.41)	232	4.20 × (1.40)	36	5 31 (0 80)
Referral				(0.12) 0 0		J.J. a (0.07)
Academic	3497	4.41 , (1.42)	228	5 37. (80)	35	201 (00)
Non-Academic	3483	413 (155)	922	107 (116)	20	3.91 a (.28)
Information		(201) 2011	0777	4.07 b (1.10)	20	5.64 a (.59)
How Things Work	3488	4.80 (1.24)	700	(10 00 00		
Accurate Information	3494	5 44 (00)	177	5.03 b (1.07)	30	5.75 a (.55)
Individuation		(7(:) 311.6	177	3.30 b (.//)	30	5.92 a (.28)
 Skills, Abilities, Interests 	3485	4.87 (1.16)	22.4	467, (126)	36	(64) (50)
Know as Individual	3487	4.75 b (1.30)	225	4 54; (1 34)	36	5.04 a (39)
Shared Responsibility				(1 5.1) 0 . 5	00	J.JU a (./2)
Shared Responsibility	3467	4.69 _b (1.29)	222	4.86 . (1.27)	36	(63) 29
Mr B.		- 11		(1=:=) 0 00		J.O. a (.O.)

Note. Ratings were made on 6-point scales (1 = not important, 6 = very important). Within each row, means with different subscripts differ at p < .05 minimally, with subscript "a" signifying the group that rated the function highest and subscript "c" signifying the group that rated the function lowest. Table 17.

Results of ANOVAs Comparing University of Oregon Student, Faculty, and Advisor Participants' Satisfaction Ratings

			farman r farran	weare); and ravisor ratherpailts Sauslaction Katings	Cipality Sall	Staction Katings
			Satis	Satisfaction Ratings		
		Students		Faculty		Adricon
	и	Mean (SD)	7	Moon (CD)		SIOSIANA
Integration			41	MICAII (3D)	п	Mean (SD)
	0.00					
• Overall Connect	3180	4.07 _c (4.33)	175	4.32 _b (1.16)	33	4.73, (1.07)
 Major Connect 	3175	4.05_{c} (1.33)	164	4.57 _b (1.11)	28	4.82 (0.82)
 Gen Ed Connect 	3144	3.91 _b (1.34)	135	3.97 _b (1.30)	32	7.02a (0.02)
Degree Connect	3145	3.86, (1.39)	117	4 37. (1 30)	20	4.37a (0.90)
Out-of-Class Connect	3130	3 45, (1 41)	138	4.02 (1.30)	33	5.20 _a (0.89)
Referral		(1111)	000	4.02b (1.29)	33	4.36 _a (1.06)
Academic	2111	200	4			
Academic	2111	3.83c (1.37)	152	4.17_{b} (1.37)	33	5.36, (0.74)
Non-Academic	3067	3.75_{b} (1.36)	131	3.98, (1.30)	33	4 67 (0.78)
Information				(221) 0-		T.U/a (U./O)
How Things Work	3117	3.78 _c (1.41)	118	4 (3, (1 32)	33	1 04 (0 02)
Accurate Information	3138	4.28, (1.37)	136	4 82, (1 16)	33	4.34a (0.03)
Individuation				(01:1) 070:		2.42a (U.03)
Skills, Abilities, Interests	3111	3.85 _c (1.38)	139	4.24, (1.23)	3.3	1 88 (0.01)
Know as Individual	3120	3.59, (1.54)	147	4 27, (1 33)	31	4.00a (0.91)
Shared Responsibility				(55.1) 0,7.	0.1	4.1/a (U.03)
Shared Responsibility	3097	3.89, (1.34)	129	4 24. (1 26)	3.7	4 00 00 00 4
		(;) 0		(07:1) 9, 7:1	26	$+.00_{3}$ (0.74)

Note. Ratings were made on 6-point scales (1 = not satisfied, 6 = very satisfied). Within each row, means with different subscripts differ at p < .05 minimally, with subscript "a" signifying the group that rated the function highest and subscript "c" signifying the group that rated the function lowest. Table 18.

Results of Within-Subjects ANOVAs of Importance Ratings of University of Oregon Student,
Faculty, and Advisor Participants

	racuity, and Adviso.		
	Students	Faculty	Advisors
	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)
Integration			
Overall Connect	4.84 (1.15)	5.22 (0.97)	5.83 (0.38)
Major Connect	4.96 _b (1.09)	5.17 (1.10)	5.75 (0.55)
Gen Ed Connect	4.57 _f (1.30)	4.69 (1.32)	5.50 (0.74)
Degree Connect	4.50 (1.41)	4.80 de (1.30)	5.42 (1.00)
Out-of-Class Connect	4.39 _g (1.41)	4.20 _f (1.40)	5.31 (0.89)
Referral		18	
Academic	4.41 (1.42)	5.37 _b (0.89)	5.91 (0.28)
Non-Academic	4.13 (1.55)	4.87 (1.16)	5.64 (0.59)
Information			400
How Things Work	4.80 d (1.24)	5.03 (1.07)	5.75 (0.55)
Accurate Information	5.44 (.92)	5.58 (.77)	5.92 (0.28)
Individuation			
Skills, Abilities, Interests	4.87 _c (1.16)	4.67 _e (1.26)	5.64 (0.59)
Know as Individual	4.75 (1.30)	4.54 (1.34)	5.36 _{bc} (0.72)
Shared Responsibility			
Shared Responsibility	4.69 _e (1.29)	4.86 (1.27)	5.67 (0.63)

Note. Ratings were made on 6-point scales (1 = not important, 6 = very important). Within each column, means with different subscripts differ at p < .05 minimally, with subscript "a" signifying the highest rated function, and h (for students), f (for faculty), or c (for advisors) signifying the lowest rated function(s).